Commonwealth of Massachusetts

Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office



Environmental Notification Form

	_
For Office Use Only	l
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs	
EOEA No.: 12834 .	
MEPA Analyst EAndrea Dame	5
Phone: 617-626-1028	

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Ashley Place f.k.a. M	leg Lane			
Street: Randolph Avenue				
Municipality: Milton		Watershed:Neponset		
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coor	dinates:	Latitude:042" 14" 38.7"N		
Simplification of the real of		Longitude: 071" 04" 14.5" W		
Estimated commencement date:10/02		Estimated completion date: September 2003		
Approximate cost: \$600,000+		Status of project design: 100% complete		
Proponent: First Financial Holding (Corporatio			
Street: 49 Fort Avenue		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Municipality:Roxbury		State:MA	Zip Code:02119	
Name of Contact Person From Who	m Copies	of this ENF May		
Michèle Grenier	•	•	,	
Firm/ Wetland & Wildlife, Environ. C	onsultant	s Street: P.O. E	Box 1432	
Municipality:Middleborough		State: MA	Zip Code: 02346	
Phone: 508 259-9617 Fax: 508		947-5741	E-mail:	
			wetlands_wildlife@yahoo.com	
Does this project meet or exceed a mathematical Has this project been filed with MEPA to the Yes (EOEA No Has any project on this site been filed to Yes (EOEA No Yes (EOEA No	ŬYe pefore? with MEPA	es ⊠No) ⊠No before?) ⊠No	1 CMR 11.03)?	
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.0 a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	YY IR 11.09)Y R 11.11)Y	es ⊠No es ⊠No		
Identify any financial assistance or land the agency name and the amount of fu				
Are you requesting coordinated review Yes (Specify	•		e, regional, or local agency? ⊠No	
List Local or Federal Permits and Appro	ovals:			

Milton Planning Board Approval granted Milton Conservation Commission, Order of Conditions issued 04/01/02 Army Corps of Engineers Category II Program Permit

inoia(s) aoes tr	ne project me	eet or excee	d (see 301 CMR 11.03);
☐ Wastewater ☐ Transportat ☐ Air ☐ Solid & Haz ☐ Regulations ☐ Historical &		zardous Waste Archaeological	
Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
			Approvals
LAND			Order of Conditions
6			☐ Superseding Order of Conditions
2.0	2.0		☐ Chapter 91 License
	.5		
,	12,300		MHD or MDC Access Permit
			☐ Water Management Act Permit
			☐ New Source Approval
JCTURES			☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
	6,000		 ✓ Other Permits (including Legislative Approvals) — Specify:
0	3		ACOE Cat II General Permit
	30		
PORTATION			
	15		
	6		
TEWATER			
	1,500		
	990		
	<.5		
	Rare Speci Wastewate Air Regulations Existing 6 JCTURES	Rare Species Wastewater Air Regulations Existing Change LAND 6 2.0 .5 12,300 JCTURES 6,000 0 3 30 PORTATION 15 6 TEWATER 1,500	

natural re ∐Ye	esources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97? s (Specify) ⊠No
Will it invo	olve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation, or watershed preservation restriction?
. 0011101101	(Specify) \(\infty\) No
RARE SP Sites of R	PECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority are Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities? es (Specify)
HISTORIC listed in the Common	CAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district see State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the
If yes, doe	es the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or gical resources?
□Ye	s (Specify) \textsqrt{No}
	F CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical ental Concern? es (Specify)
with each (You may	T DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative attach one additional page, if necessary.)
located off within the passociated accomplish of the ditch	sed construction of the subdivision will include three house lots, a subdivision roadway, utilities, sewer lines, landscaping and improved drainage for the area. The proposed limited access roadway will be Randolph Avenue. Utilities such as telecommunications, power, water gas and sewer will be installed proposed roadway layout. Approximately 12,300 square feet of bordering vegetated wetland, with a drainage ditch, will be altered to access upland portions of the site. The crossing will be ed using two box culverts and a retaining wall to minimize the amount of fill and to avoid obstruction flow. The alteration of the wetland is proposed to be replicated (1,400sf) in the vicinity of the ye establishing similar vegetation species.
Town of Mi of approxin	imate 6-acre site is located off Randolph Avenue, Milton MA. The site is bordered by residential at to the south associated with Randolph Avenue, residential property to the west and north, and the lton Department of Public Works garage to the east (See Section III Figure 1). The site is comprised nately 196,638 square feet of upland, with a drainage ditch and associates BVW that borders along st side of the site.
Alternative	Analysis
1)	No Build: The site is zoned residential and has no restrictions. The subdivision has been approved by the Milton planning board and conservation commission (See Appendix A Order of Conditions). The no build option was not choosen.
2)	Reduced Project Scope: A reduced project scope would likely involve the elimination of one or two houses. The number of houses has already been reduced and the three house are on >1 acres each. In addition the Milton Planning Board approved a minimum width of 22 feet for the roadway to

decrease the amount of wetland disturbance.

Preferred Design: The current design of the project will raise property values and will meet the goals of the surrounding subdivisions. The proposed project will also improve the drainage problems for abutting dwellings. Presently the drainage ditch that runs from the Milton Public Works garage down behind the houses on Randolph Ave., through the applicants parcel and entering a culvert crossing under Randolph Ave., is filled with debris (Christmas trees, silt, etc). As a result the blocked drainage ditch creates flooding problems for all the abutters on Randolph Avenue. In a sincere effort to alleviate the problem for the abutters the applicant proposed to completely clean out the entire drainage ditch and utilize an expensive drainage pipe system within the box culverts to slow the release of water to further reduce flooding.

LAND SECTION – all proponents must fill out this section

I.	Thresholds / Permits A. Does the project meet or exceed any review thresholds related to land (see 301 CMR 11.03(1) Yes _XNo; if yes, specify each threshold:
II.	A. Describe, in acres, the current and proposed character of the project site, as follows: Existing Change Total
	B. Has any part of the project site been in active agricultural use in the last three years? YesX_No; if yes, how many acres of land in agricultural use (with agricultural soils) will be converted to nonagricultural use?
	C. Is any part of the project site currently or proposed to be in active forestry use? Yes _X_No; if yes, please describe current and proposed forestry activities and indicate whether any part of the site is the subject of a DEM-approved forest management plan:
	D. Does any part of the project involve conversion of land held for natural resources purposes in accordance with Article 97 of the Amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97? Yes _X_ No; if yes, describe:
	E. Is any part of the project site currently subject to a conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction or watershed preservation restriction? Yes X No; if yes, does the project involve the release or modification of such restriction? No; if yes, describe:
	F. Does the project require approval of a new urban redevelopment project or a fundamental change in an existing urban redevelopment project under M.G.L.c.121A? Yes _X No; if yes, describe:
	G. Does the project require approval of a new urban renewal plan or a major modification of an existing urban renewal plan under M.G.L.c.121B? YesX_No; if yes, describe:
	H. Describe the project's stormwater impacts and, if applicable, measures that the project will take to comply with the standards found in DEP's Stormwater Management Policy: The project has been designed to reduce peak rate and volume through the infiltration. The proposed stormwater management system will exceed the existing recharge volumes. The system is designed to remove greater than 80% of total suspended solids (See Section II Project Narrative, and Appendix C Stormwater Manangement Form, Appendix D Stormwater Operation & Maintenance Plan and Appendix D Drainage Calculations).

1. Is the project site currently being regulated under M.G.L.c.21E or the Massachusetts Contingency